

50

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

08/820,181 03/14/97 WILHELM

G EN995139

EXAMINER

TM11/1019

SHELLEY M. BECKSTRAND
314 MAIN STREET
OWEGO NY 13827

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2151
DATE MAILED:

10/19/00

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY

- ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3-14-97
- ☐ This action is FINAL.
- ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

- ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

- ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
- ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.
- ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
- ☐ received.
- ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____
- ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

- ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 2
- ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
- ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

-- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES --

Art Unit: 2755

1. This office action in response to application filed on March 14, 1997. Claims 1-8 are presented for examination.

2. Applicants are requested to note PTO-948 concerning notice of draftsman's patent drawing review. However, correction of the noted defect can be deferred until the application is allowed by the examiner. Applicants are reminded of the provision of MPEP 608.02(q) and (r) regarding a separate letter to the chief Draftsman.

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The examiner believes that the title of the invention is broad. A descriptive title indicative of the invention will help in proper indexing, classifying, searching, etc. See MPEP 606.01. However, the title of the invention should be limited to 255 characters.

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the

Art Unit: 2755

time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

5. Claims 1-8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Davidson et al. (U.S.Pat No. 5,630,136), in view of Periwai et al. (U.S.Pat No. 5,644,768).

As per claims 1-8, Davidson et al. teach:

- a multi-tasking operating system (multi tasking operating system, col. 4, lines 66-68, continued on col. 5, lines 1-11) for simultaneous access (concurrently, col. 1, lines 11-21), serially reusable resource (serialized, col. 2, lines 49-54);
- at least one resource (resource, col. 2, lines 49-54);
- plurality of threads (multi-threads, col. 2, lines 49-54);

The reference of Davidson fails to explicitly teach of a queue for allocating access to the resources. Periwai in the same field of endeavor teach of a mutex record an ID for the thread or process which successfully achieve acquisition of the mutex (col. 3, lines 31-44, and col. 8, lines 61-68, continued on col. 9,

Art Unit: 2755

lines 1-10), for the reason for the program code can acquire and release mutex primitives without concern for deadlock.

Regarding the sleep and wake up in claims 2-3, the reference of Periwal teaches of sleep and awakening in col. 11, lines 25-68.

As for the limitation of counting the cumulative number of threads, Periwal teaches of reference count in col. 11, lines 25-68.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Majid A. Banankhah** whose voice telephone number is (703) 308-6903. A voice mail service is also available at this number.

All response sent to U.S. Mail should be mailed to:
Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park Two, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA, Six Floor (Receptionist).
All hand-delivered responses will be handled and entered by the docketing personnel. Please do not hand deliver responses to the

Art Unit: 2755

Examiner.

All Formal or Official Faxes must be signed and sent to either (703) 308-9051 or (703) 308-9052. Official faxes will be handled and entered by the docketing personnel. The date of entry will correspond to the actual FAX reception date unless that date is a Saturday, Sunday, or a Federal Holiday within the District of Columbia, in which case the official date of receipt will be the next business day. The application file will be promptly forwarded to the Examiner unless the application file must be sent to another area of the office, e.g., Finance Division for fee charging, etc.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Majid Banankhah

October 10, 2000



MAJID BANANKHAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER